PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES



WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION

RIGHTS AND REMEDIES
OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES
UNDER 5 U.S.C., CHAPTERS 12 & 23

Darshan A. Sheth Attorney Investigation & Prosecution Div. Washington Field Office

U.S. OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL (OSC)

5 U.S.C. §§ 1211-19; 5 C.F.R. PART 1800



AUTHORIZED TO —

- INVESTIGATE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
 AND OTHER ACTIVITIES PROHIBITED BY CIVIL
 SERVICE LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION
- SEEK CORRECTIVE ACTION ON BEHALF OF INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE THE VICTIMS OF PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
- SEEK DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST AGENCY
 OFFICIALS WHO COMMIT PROHIBITED PERSONNEL
 PRACTICES

OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL (OSC)

5 U.S.C. §§ 1211-19; 5 C.F.R. PART 1800



AUTHORIZED TO —

- PROVIDE A SAFE CHANNEL FOR WHISTLEBLOWER

 DISCLOSURES BY CURRENT AND FORMER FEDERAL

 EMPLOYEES, AND APPLICANTS FOR FEDERAL

 EMPLOYMENT
- ADVISE ON AND ENFORCE <u>HATCH ACT</u> PROVISIONS ON POLITICAL ACTIVITY APPLICABLE TO FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES
- PROTECT THE REEMPLOYMENT RIGHTS OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEE MILITARY VETERANS AND RESERVISTS UNDER <u>USERRA</u>

RESPONSIBILITIES OF AGENCY OFFICIALS

5 U.S.C. § 2302(c)

<u>AGENCY HEADS</u>, AND <u>OFFICIALS WITH DELEGATED</u> <u>PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY</u>, ARE <u>RESPONSIBLE FOR</u>—

- PREVENTING PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
- COMPLYING WITH AND ENFORCING CIVIL SERVICE LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS
- ENSURING THAT EMPLOYEES ARE INFORMED OF THEIR RIGHTS AND REMEDIES (IN CONSULTATION WITH OSC)

KEY CONCEPTS



- MERIT SYSTEM PRINCIPLES
 THE FRAMEWORK AND FOUNDATION FOR MAKING ALL
 PERSONNEL DECISIONS IN THE CIVIL SERVICE
- PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
 ADMONITIONS AGAINST SPECIFIC PRACTICES THAT
 CONFLICT WITH MERIT SYSTEMS PRINCIPLES
- WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURES
 OSC PROVIDES A SAFE CHANNEL FOR DISCLOSURES BY
 CURRENT AND FORMER FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND
 APPLICANTS FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT

PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES: OVERVIEW



12 PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES — FALL UNDER ONE OF FOUR GENERAL CATEGORIES:

- DISCRIMINATION
- HIRING PRACTICES THAT OFFEND MERIT SYSTEM
- RETALIATION FOR ENGAGING IN PROTECTED ACTIVITY (INCLUDING WHISTLEBLOWING)
- THE CATCH-ALL: VIOLATION OF LAWS, RULES OR REGULATIONS THAT IMPLEMENT MERIT SYSTEMS PRINCIPLES (INCLUDING VIOLATIONS OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS)

DISCRIMINATION



PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE TO DISCRIMINATE AGAINST AN EMPLOYEE:

- BASED ON RACE, COLOR, NATIONALITY, RELIGION, GENDER, HANDICAPPING CONDITION, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, OR POLITICAL AFFILIATION
- BASED ON "CONDUCT WHICH DOES NOT ADVERSELY AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE EMPLOYEE OR APPLICANT, OR THE PERFORMANCE OF OTHERS"

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(1) and (b)(10)

POLITICAL ACTIVITY



PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE TO:

- COERCE THE POLITICAL ACTIVITY OF ANY PERSON (INCLUDING PROVIDING OF ANY POLITICAL CONTRIBUTION OR SERVICE)
- TAKE ANY ACTION AGAINST AN EMPLOYEE OR APPLICANT FOR EMPLOYMENT AS A REPRISAL FOR THE REFUSAL OF ANY PERSON TO ENGAGE IN SUCH POLITICAL ACTIVITY



- OBSTRUCTING THE RIGHT TO COMPETE
- INFLUENCING WITHDRAWAL FROM COMPETITION
- UNAUTHORIZED PREFERENCES
- NEPOTISM
- CONSIDERING IMPROPER JOB REFERENCES
- KNOWINGLY VIOLATING VETERANS' PREFERENCE

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(2); (b)(4); (b)(5); (b)(6);(b)(7); (b)(11)



MOST COMMON VIOLATIONS:

- DECEIVING OR WILFULLY OBSTRUCTING RIGHT TO COMPETE FOR EMPLOYMENT 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(4)
- INFLUENCING WITHDRAWAL FROM COMPETITION IN ORDER TO IMPROVE OR INJURE EMPLOYMENT PROSPECTS OF ANOTHER — 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(5)
- GIVING AN UNAUTHORIZED PREFERENCE OR ADVANTAGE TO IMPROVE OR INJURE THE PROSPECTS OF ANY PARTICULAR PERSON FOR EMPLOYMENT — 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(6)



COMMON MISCONCEPTION:

- IT IS NOT A PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE TO ACT UPON ONE'S EXISTING EXPECTATION THAT ONE PERSON MAY BE THE BEST SELECTEE FOR A PARTICULAR POSITION ("PRESELECTION").
- TO VIOLATE THE LAW THERE MUST BE
 - ✓ THE GRANT OF SOME ILLEGAL ADVANTAGE
 - ✓ AN INTENTIONAL AND PURPOSEFUL

 MANIPULATION OF THE SYSTEM TO INSURE THAT

 ONE PERSON IS FAVORED AND ANOTHER

 PERSON IS DISADVANTAGED



CAVEATS:

- WHILE HIRING OFFENSES USUALLY REQUIRE INTENT TO DECEIVE OR MANIPULATE THE SYSTEM, IF A LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION IMPLEMENTING A MERIT SYSTEM PRINCIPLE IS VIOLATED IN THE PROCESS, THAT WOULD ALSO BE A PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE.
- NEGLIGENCE OR IMPRUDENT ACTIONS CAN CREATE
 THE APPEARANCE OF A HIRING OFFENSE AND RESULT
 IN COMPLAINTS AND INVESTIGATIONS <u>E.G.</u>,
 BROADCASTING ONE'S CHOICE BEFORE COMPETITION
 IS HELD.

EXAMPLES OF HIRING OFFENSES



- MANAGER DELIBERATELY FAILS TO HAVE VACANCY ANNOUNCEMENT POSTED, TO PREVENT A PARTICULAR CANDIDATE FROM APPLYING FOR A VACANCY
- APPLICATION RECEIVED IS DELIBERATELY MISPLACED OR DESTROYED
- SUPERVISOR GIVES AN EMPLOYEE A DISHONEST RECOMMENDATION OR APPRAISAL TO KEEP VALUABLE EMPLOYEE OR TO HELP ANOTHER CANDIDATE

EXAMPLES OF HIRING OFFENSES



- SUPERVISOR ENCOURAGES A SUBORDINATE NOT TO COMPETE, OR TO WITHDRAW HIS OR HER APPLICATION, BY MAKING PROMISES OF FUTURE BENEFITS THAT SUPERVISOR DOES NOT INTEND TO KEEP
- CLOSED VACANCY ANNOUNCEMENT IS RE-OPENED TO PERMIT A FAVORED CANDIDATE TO APPLY

EXAMPLES OF HIRING OFFENSES



- JOB QUALIFICATIONS ARE MANIPULATED TO FAVOR A PARTICULAR APPLICANT
- A SUPERVISOR ADVISES A QUALIFIED EMPLOYEE NOT TO APPLY FOR A JOB IN ORDER TO IMPROVE ANOTHER EMPLOYEE'S CHANCES TO BE SELECTED

CATCH ALL PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE



TAKING OR FAILING TO TAKE PERSONNEL ACTION,
IN VIOLATION OF A LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION
THAT IMPLEMENTS OR DIRECTLY CONCERNS A
MERIT SYSTEM PRINCIPLE

5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(12)

MERIT SYSTEM PRINCIPLES

5 U.S.C. § 2301(b)



- RECRUIT, SELECT, AND ADVANCE ON THE BASIS OF MERIT AFTER FAIR AND OPEN COMPETITION
- 2. TREAT EMPLOYEES AND APPLICANTS FAIRLY AND EQUITABLY
- 3. PROVIDE EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK AND REWARD EXCELLENT PERFORMANCE
- 4. MAINTAIN HIGH STANDARDS OF INTEGRITY, CONDUCT, AND CONCERN FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST

MERIT SYSTEM PRINCIPLES

5 U.S.C. § 2301(b)



- 5. MANAGE EMPLOYEES EFFECTIVELY AND EFFICIENTLY
- 6. RETAIN OR SEPARATE EMPLOYEES ON THE BASIS OF THEIR PERFORMANCE
- 7. PROVIDE EMPLOYEES WITH EFFECTIVE TRAINING AND EDUCATION
- 8. PROTECT EMPLOYEES FROM IMPROPER POLITICAL INFLUENCE
- 9. PROTECT EMPLOYEES FROM REPRISAL FOR LAWFUL DISCLOSURES

RETALIATION

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(8); (b)(9)



TAKING, FAILING TO TAKE, OR THREATENING TO TAKE OR FAIL TO TAKE PERSONNEL ACTION FOR —

- PROTECTED WHISTLEBLOWING
- EXERCISE OF APPEAL, COMPLAINT, OR GRIEVANCE RIGHTS
- TESTIMONY OR OTHER ASSISTANCE TO PERSON EXERCISING SUCH RIGHTS
- COOPERATION WITH OR DISCLOSURES TO THE SPECIAL COUNSEL OR AN INSPECTOR GENERAL
- REFUSAL TO OBEY AN ORDER THAT WOULD REQUIRE VIOLATION OF LAW

ELEMENTS OF PROOF: REPRISAL FOR WHISTLEBLOWING

5 U.S.C. §§ 1214(b)(4)(A)-(B), 1221(e)



MUST SHOW —

- PROTECTED DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION UNDER 5 U.S.C. § 2302(b)(8)
- <u>PERSONNEL ACTION</u> TAKEN NOT TAKEN, OR THREATENED
- ACTUAL OR CONSTRUCTIVE <u>KNOWLEDGE</u> OF THE PROTECTED DISCLOSURE
- PROTECTED DISCLOSURE WAS A <u>CONTRIBUTING</u>
 <u>FACTOR</u> IN THE PERSONNEL ACTION

PROTECTED WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURES

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(8), 1213



CATEGORIES OF DISCLOSURES

- A VIOLATION OF ANY LAW, RULE OR REGULATION
- GROSS MISMANAGEMENT

MORE THAN DE MINIMIS

GROSS WASTE OF FUNDS

MORE THAN A DEBATABLE EXPENDITURE

- ABUSE OF AUTHORITY
- SUBSTANTIAL AND SPECIFIC DANGER TO PUBLIC HEALTH AND/OR SAFETY

PROTECTED WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURES (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(8), 1213



- GENERALLY PROTECTED WHEN MADE TO <u>ANY</u>
 <u>PERSON</u> (EXCEPT THE WRONGDOER)
- NEED NOT BE ACCURATE TO BE PROTECTED
- PROTECTED IF EMPLOYEE HAS A <u>REASONABLE BELIEF</u>
 THAT IT IS TRUE TEST IS BOTH OBJECTIVE AND SUBJECTIVE

PROTECTED WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURES (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(8), 1213



- NO REQUIREMENT THAT EMPLOYEE GO THROUGH
 CHAIN OF COMMAND
- WHISTLEBLOWER'S PERSONAL MOTIVATION DOES

 NOT AFFECT REASONABLENESS OF A DISCLOSURE
- EMPLOYEE OR APPLICANT IS <u>PROTECTED IF</u>

 <u>EMPLOYER MISTAKENLY BELIEVES</u> HE OR SHE IS A

 WHISTLEBLOWER

PROTECTED WHISTLEBLOWER DISCLOSURES (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. §§ 2302(b)(8), 1213



DISCLOSURE NOT PROTECTED (UNLESS MADE TO THE SPECIAL COUNSEL OR INSPECTORS GENERAL), WHERE DISCLOSURE IS —

- PROHIBITED BY LAW, OR
- REQUIRED BY EXECUTIVE ORDER TO BE SECRET FOR NATIONAL SECURITY OR FOREIGN AFFAIRS REASONS

CONTRIBUTING FACTOR



ANY FACTOR WHICH ALONE OR IN CONNECTION WITH OTHERS TENDS TO AFFECT IN ANY WAY THE OUTCOME OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION AT ISSUE

- CAN BE ESTABLISHED BY <u>KNOWLEDGE</u> / <u>TIMING</u>
 ALONE
- OFTEN ESTABLISHED BY <u>CIRCUMSTANTIAL</u>
 EVIDENCE

CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE

(AGENCY DEFENSE)

 AGENCY DEFENDS PERSONNEL ACTION BY SHOWING — BY CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE — THAT IT WOULD HAVE TAKEN THE SAME ACTION WITHOUT THE DISCLOSURE.

FACTORS:

- STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF THE PERSONNEL ACTION
- EXISTENCE AND STRENGTH OF MOTIVE TO RETALIATE
- TREATMENT OF <u>SIMILAR EMPLOYEES</u> WHO ARE NOT WHISTLEBLOWERS

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

5 U.S.C. § 1214



IF OSC FINDS THAT A PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE HAS OCCURRED, A LETTER WILL BE SENT TO THE HEAD OF THE AGENCY INVOLVED TO REQUEST CORRECTIVE ACTION

EXAMPLE —

IF THE CASE INVOLVES A 30-DAY SUSPENSION, OSC MIGHT REQUEST THAT THE SUSPENSION BE RESCINDED, AND THAT THE EMPLOYEE RECEIVE BACK PAY

IN MOST CASES, AGENCIES AGREE TO TAKE THE CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTED AND A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT RESOLVES THE MATTER

CORRECTIVE ACTION (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. § 1214



IF THE AGENCY DOES NOT TAKE THE
CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUESTED WITHIN
A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME, OSC MAY
FILE A PETITION FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION
WITH THE MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

CORRECTIVE ACTION (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. § 1214

IF, AFTER A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME, THE AGENCY DOES NOT ACT TO CORRECT THE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE, THE SPECIAL COUNSEL MAY PETITION THE BOARD FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION

- THE BOARD SHALL PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ORAL OR WRITTEN COMMENTS BY OSC, OPM, THE AGENCY INVOLVED, AND BY ANY INDIVIDUAL WHO ALLEGES TO BE THE SUBJECT OF THE PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE
- IF THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT OSC HAS
 DEMONSTRATED THAT A PROHIBITED PERSONNEL
 PRACTICE OCCURRED, EXISTS, OR IS TO BE TAKEN, THE
 BOARD SHALL ORDER SUCH CORRECTIVE ACTION AS
 THE BOARD CONSIDERS APPROPRIATE

DISCIPLINARY ACTION

5 U.S.C. § 1215



MAY BE SOUGHT BY OSC FOR —

- PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICES
- HATCH ACT VIOLATIONS
- OTHER VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL SERVICE LAW, RULE, OR REGULATION

DISCIPLINARY ACTION (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. § 1215



MAY BE SOUGHT BY OSC FROM —

- THE MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD
- AGENCY HEADS

(FOR UNIFORMED SERVICE MEMBERS AND CONTRACTORS)

THE PRESIDENT

(FOR MOST PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTEES)

DISCIPLINARY ACTION (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. § 1215



POSSIBLE PENALTIES —

- REMOVAL, REDUCTION IN GRADE, SUSPENSION, OR REPRIMAND
- DEBARMENT FROM FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT
 (UP TO FIVE YEARS)
- CIVIL PENALTY
 (UP TO \$1,100)

DISCIPLINARY ACTION (cont'd)

5 U.S.C. § 1215



RIGHTS OF CHARGED EMPLOYEE INCLUDE —

- OPPORTUNITY TO RESPOND
- LEGAL OR OTHER REPRESENTATION
- HEARING BEFORE A MERIT SYSTEMS
 PROTECTION BOARD ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
 JUDGE
- WRITTEN DECISION

OSC WEB SITE

(http://www.osc.gov)





FIRSTGOV

Last Updated: 4/4/06

http://www.osc.gov/ (1 of 2)5/25/2006 1:15:47 PM

OSC Contacts and Other Links Employment Opportunities

OSC PHONE / E-MAIL CONTACTS



COMPLAINTS EXAMINING UNIT: (202) 254-3670

(800) 872-9855

DISCLOSURE HOTLINE: (202) 254-3640

(800) 572-2249

HATCH ACT UNIT: (800) 85-HATCH

(202) 254-3650

hatchact@osc.gov

<u>USERRA UNIT</u>: (202) 254-3620

userra@osc.gov

OSC SPEAKERS/

OUTREACH REQUESTS: (202) 254-3600

Shirine Moazed

OSC MAIL CONTACTS



U.S. OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL

1730 M STREET, N.W. (SUITE 218)

WASHINGTON, DC 20036-4505